



## A Fixed point Theorem Satisfying Integral Type Contraction in Fuzzy Metric Space

Sharafat Hussain \* and Maria Samreen<sup>1</sup>

\* Department of Mathematics, Quaid-i-Azam University , Islamabad, Pakistan.

Department of Mathematics, Women University of Azad Jammu and Kashmir , Bagh, AJK.

1 Department of Mathematics, Quaid-i-Azam University , Islamabad, Pakistan.

Academic Editor: [Supuk Phiangsungnoen](#)

**Abstract:** In this paper we analyze the existence of fixed points for mappings defined on a complete fuzzy metric space satisfying a contractive condition of integral type. Our main result generalize the fuzzy Banach contraction theorem of V. Gregori and A. Sapena [V. Gregori and A. Sapena, On fixed-point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 125(2) (2002) 245 – 252]

**Keywords:** Fuzzy metric space Integral type contraction Fuzzy Banach contraction.

**MSC:** 54H25, 47H10, 54E50.

### 1 Introduction

In 1965 L. Zadeh [18] introduced the theory of fuzzy sets. Many authors have introduced the concept of fuzzy metric spaces in different ways. In 1975 Kramosil and Michalek [10] introduced the notion of fuzzy metric space by using continuous  $t$  norm. Later on in 1994 George and Veeramani [3] modify the concept of fuzzy metric space introduced by Kramosil and Michalek. They define a Hausdorff topology and have show that every metric induced a fuzzy metric. Form the definition of Cauchy sequence given

© by the SUMA Publishing Group.

DOI: [10.30697/rfpta-2018-013](https://doi.org/10.30697/rfpta-2018-013)

\*Corresponding author E-mail: [sharafat@math.qau.edu.pk](mailto:sharafat@math.qau.edu.pk)

Received May 27, 2018; revised October 16, 2018; accepted November 08, 2018.

This is an open access article under the CC BY license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

by Kramosil and Michalek even  $\mathbb{R}$  is not complete so George and Veeramani also modify the definition of Cauchy sequence. V. Gregori and A. Sapena [4] extend the Banach fixed point theorem to fuzzy contractive mapping of complete metric space. In the recent years, many authors had dedicated themselves to the study of fixed point theory in fuzzy metric space (see [5, 6, 7, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15] and reference therein) Branciari [2] gave an integral version of the Banach contraction principles and proved fixed point theorem for a single-valued contractive mapping of integral type in metric space. Afterwards many researchers extended the result of Branciari and obtained fixed point and common fixed point theorems for various contractive conditions of integral type on different spaces, for more details see [1, 9, 8, 16, 17].

## 2 Preliminaries

Let us firstly recall some basic definitions and known results needed in the sequel.

**Definition 2.1.** [10] A binary operation  $*$  :  $[0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a continuous  $t$ -norm if it satisfies the following conditions:

1.  $*$  is associative and commutative,
2.  $*$  is continuous,
3.  $a * 1 = a$  for all  $a \in [0, 1]$ ,
4.  $a * b \leq c * d$  whenever  $a \leq c$  and  $b \leq d$ , for each  $a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$

Some popular  $t$  norm are listed below

- (i)  $x * y = x \wedge y$
- (ii)  $x * y = \max(0, x + y - 1)$  (Lukasiewicz  $t$ -norm)
- (iii)  $x * y = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } y = 1 \\ y & \text{if } x = 1; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$
- (iv)  $x * y = xy$ .

A continuous  $t$ -norm  $*$  is of Hadzic-type if there exist strictly increasing sequence  $\{b_n\} \subset (0, 1)$  such that  $b_n * b_n = b_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [10] The 3-tuple  $(X, M, *)$  is said to be fuzzy metric space if  $X$  is an arbitrary set,  $*$  is continuous  $t$ -norm, and  $M : X \times X \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a fuzzy set satisfying the following conditions:

- (FM<sub>1</sub>)  $M(x, y, 0) = 0$ ,
- (FM<sub>2</sub>)  $M(x, y, t) = 1$  for all  $t > 0$  iff  $x = y$ ,
- (FM<sub>3</sub>)  $M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t)$ ,
- (FM<sub>4</sub>)  $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s)$ ,

(FM<sub>5</sub>)  $M(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is left continuous for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $t, s > 0$ . Let  $X = \mathbb{N}$ , and take  $a * b = ab$ .

**Example 2.3.** [4] Define for all  $t > 0$ ,

$$M(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{y} & \text{if } x \leq y \\ \frac{y}{x} & \text{if } y \leq x \end{cases}$$

Then  $(X, M, *)$  is a fuzzy metric space.

**Example 2.4.** [4] Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ . Define  $a * b = ab$  and

$$M(x, y, t) = \left[ \exp\left(\frac{|x - y|}{t}\right) \right]^{-1}$$

for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t \in (0, \infty)$ . Then  $(X, M, *)$  is a fuzzy metric space.

George and Veeramani modify the concept of fuzzy metric space as following

**Definition 2.5.** [10] The 3-tuple  $(X, M, *)$  is said to be fuzzy metric space if  $X$  is an arbitrary set,  $*$  is continuous  $t$ -norm, and  $M : X \times X \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a fuzzy set satisfying the following conditions:  $(FM_1)$   $M(x, y, t) > 0$ ,

$$(FM_2) M(x, y, t) = 1 \text{ for all } t > 0 \text{ iff } x = y,$$

$$(FM_3) M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t),$$

$$(FM_4) M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \leq M(x, z, t + s),$$

$(FM_5)$   $M(x, y, \cdot) : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is continuous for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $t, s > 0$ . Let  $X = \mathbb{N}$ , and take  $a * b = ab$ .

**Example 2.6.** [4] Define for all  $t > 0$ ,

$$M(x, y, t) = \frac{1}{1 + |x - y|}$$

Then  $(X, M, *)$  is a fuzzy metric space. Where  $a * b = ab$

**Definition 2.7.** [6] A sequence  $(x_n)$  in a fuzzy metric space  $(X, M, *)$  converges to  $x$  if and only if  $M(x_n, x, t) \rightarrow 1$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Definition 2.8.** [6]  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$  and each  $t > 0$  there exist a natural number  $N$  such that  $M(x_n, x_m, t) > 1 - \varepsilon$  for all  $n, m \geq N$ . A fuzzy metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is called a complete fuzzy metric space.

**Definition 2.9.** [6] Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy metric space. A mapping  $f : X \rightarrow X$  is called fuzzy contractive mapping if there exists  $k \in (0, 1)$ , for all distinct  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$  such that

$$\left( \frac{1}{M(fx, fy, t)} - 1 \right) \leq k \left( \frac{1}{M(x, y, t)} - 1 \right)$$

**Theorem 2.10.** (Fuzzy Banach contraction theorem)[12]. Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a complete fuzzy metric space in which fuzzy contractive sequences are Cauchy. Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a fuzzy contractive mapping being  $k$  the contractive constant. Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.

A. Branciari generalized the Banach fixed point theorems as following

**Theorem 2.11.** [2] Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space,  $k \in (0, 1)$ , and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping such that for each  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$\int_0^{d(Tx, Ty)} \varphi(s) ds \leq k \int_0^{d(x, y)} \varphi(s) ds$$

where  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping which is summable on each compact subset of  $[0, \infty)$ , non negative, and such that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\int_0^\varepsilon \varphi(s) ds > 0$$

then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $z \in X$  such that for each  $z \in X$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x = z$ .

### 3 Main result

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a complete fuzzy metric space,  $k \in (0, 1)$ , and let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a mapping such that for each  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$\int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{M(Tx, Ty, t)} - 1\right)} \varphi(s) ds \leq k \int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{M(x, y, t)} - 1\right)} \varphi(s) ds \quad (1)$$

where  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a Lebesgue-integrable mapping which is summable on each compact subset of  $[0, \infty)$ , non negative, and such that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\int_0^{1-\varepsilon} \varphi(s) ds > 0 \quad (2)$$

then  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $z \in X$  such that for each  $z \in X$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n x = z$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x \in X$  be an arbitrary point define a sequence  $x_n = T^n x$ . For each integer  $n \geq 1$ , using (1)

$$\int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)} - 1\right)} \varphi(s) ds \leq k \int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n-1}, x_n, t)} - 1\right)} \varphi(s) ds$$

repeating this process  $n$  times we get

$$\int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)} - 1\right)} \varphi(s) ds \leq k^n \int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{M(x_0, x_1, t)} - 1\right)} \varphi(s) ds$$

Taking limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we obtained

$$\lim_n \int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)} - 1\right)} \varphi(s) ds = 0$$

which from (2) implies

$$\lim_n \left( \frac{1}{M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)} - 1 \right) = 0$$

which implies

$$\lim_n (M(x_n, x_{n+1}, t)) = 1 \quad (3)$$

Now we have to show that  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose that  $(x_n)$  is not a Cauchy sequence. Then there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  and sub-sequence  $(m_p)$  and  $(n_p)$  such that  $m_p < n_p < m_{p+1}$  with

$$M(x_{m_p}, x_{n_p}, t) \leq 1 - \varepsilon \quad M(x_{m_p}, x_{n_p-1}, t) > 1 - \varepsilon \quad (4)$$

by using (3), we get

$$\int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{M(x_{m_p}, x_{m_p-1}, t)} - 1\right)} \varphi(s) ds = \int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{M(x_{n_p}, x_{m_p-1}, t)} - 1\right)} \varphi(s) ds = 0$$

from triangular inequality and (4),

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_{m_p-1}, x_{n_p-1}, t) &\geq M(x_{m_p-1}, x_{m_p}, \frac{t}{2}) * M(x_{m_p}, x_{n_p-1}, \frac{t}{2}) \\ &> M(x_{m_p-1}, x_{m_p}, \frac{t}{2}) * (1 - \varepsilon) \xrightarrow{p \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \varepsilon) \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Hence

$$\lim_p \int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{M(x_{m_p-1}, x_{n_p-1}, t)} - 1\right)} \varphi(s) ds < \int_0^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} \varphi(s) ds \tag{6}$$

By using (1),(3) and (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} \varphi(s) ds &\leq \int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{M(x_{m_p}, x_{n_p}, t)} - 1\right)} \varphi(s) ds \\ &\leq k \int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{M(x_{m_p-1}, x_{n_p-1}, t)} - 1\right)} \varphi(s) ds \\ &< k \int_0^{\frac{\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} \varphi(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

which is a contraction so  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence. Since  $X$  is complete so there exist  $z \in X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow z$ . Now, using (1) and taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{M(Tz, x_{n+1}, t)} - 1\right)} \varphi(s) ds &\leq k \int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{M(z, x_n, t)} - 1\right)} \varphi(s) ds \\ &\leq k \int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{M(z, z, t)} - 1\right)} \varphi(s) ds = 0 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $M(Tz, z, t) = 1$  and hence  $Tz = z$ , that is,  $z$  is fixed point of  $T$ . For uniqueness let us suppose that  $y$  and  $z$  are two distinct fixed points of  $T$  then from (1)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{M(y, z, t)} - 1\right)} \varphi(s) ds &= \int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{M(Ty, Tz, t)} - 1\right)} \varphi(s) ds \\ &\leq k \int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{M(y, z, t)} - 1\right)} \varphi(s) ds \end{aligned}$$

since  $k < 1$  so  $\left(\frac{1}{M(y, z, t)} - 1\right) = 0$ . Which implise  $M(y, z, t) = 1$  or  $y = z$ . □

**Remark 3.2.** The Theorem 2.10 is a special case of our main result. That is by putting  $\varphi(s) = 1$  in the inequality (1) for each  $t \geq 0$ , we get the inequality (2.9). The example 3.7 shows the generality of our main result.

**Remark 3.3.** We have used the idea of integral fuzzy contraction to generalize Fuzzy Banach contraction theorem, but in a similar way we can generalize other results also related to contractive conditions of some kind, such as the ones contained in [2, 3, 4, 10, 12, 13].

**Remark 3.4.** Theorem 3.1 is not true if we take zero value almost everywhere near zero for the mapping  $\varphi$ ; following example shows our claim. In a similar way, Theorem 3.1 is not true for the negative value of  $\varphi$ , as in Example 3.5.

**Example 3.5.** Let  $X = \mathbb{N}$  with fuzzy metric defined by  $M(x, y, t) = \frac{1}{1+|x-y|}$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  and  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be defined by

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \neq 1 \\ 2 & x = 1 \end{cases} \quad \varphi(s) = \begin{cases} e^{\frac{1}{1-s}} & s \geq 1 \\ 0 & s \in [0, 1] \end{cases},$$

Now, since for every  $x, y \in X$  and  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\left(\frac{1}{M(Tx, Ty, t)} - 1\right) \leq 1$ , hence for arbitray  $k \in (0, 1)$

$$\int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{M(Tx, Ty, t)} - 1\right)} \varphi(s) ds \leq \int_0^1 \varphi(s) ds = 0 \leq k \int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{M(x, y, t)} - 1\right)} \varphi(s) ds;$$

Thus (1) satisfied for all  $k \in (0, 1)$ , but  $T$  has no fixed point.

**Example 3.6.** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^+$  with fuzzy metric defined by  $M(x, y, t) = \frac{1}{1+|x-y|}$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  and  $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be defined by  $T(x) = x + 1$  and  $\varphi(s) = -1$ .

then for an arbitray  $k \in (0, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{M(Tx, Ty, t)} - 1\right)} \varphi(s) ds &= -\left(\frac{1}{M(Tx, Ty, t)} - 1\right) \\ &= -\left(\frac{1}{M(x, y, t)} - 1\right) \\ &\leq -k\left(\frac{1}{M(x, y, t)} - 1\right) \\ &= k \int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{M(x, y, t)} - 1\right)} \varphi(s) ds; \end{aligned}$$

Thus (1) satisfied for all  $k \in (0, 1)$ , but  $T$  has no fixed point.

**Example 3.7.** Let  $X = \left\{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \cup \{0\}$  with fuzzy metric defined by  $M(x, y, t) = \frac{1}{1+|x-y|}$ . Define a map  $T : X \rightarrow X$  by

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n+1} & x = \frac{1}{n} n \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

then  $T$  is a integral fuzzy contraction with  $\varphi(s) = s^{\frac{1}{s}-2} [1 - \log s]$  and  $k = \frac{1}{2}$ .

*Proof.* Here

$$\int_0^u \varphi(s) du = u^{\frac{1}{u}}$$

Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n < m$  and let  $x = \frac{1}{n}, y = \frac{1}{m}$ , then we have

$$nm < (n+1)(m+1) \text{ and } \frac{nm}{n-m} > 0 \text{ so}$$

$$\left(\frac{nm}{(n+1)(m+1)}\right)^{\frac{nm}{m-n}} \leq 1 \tag{7}$$

also  $m \leq 3n + nm + 1$  or  $2(m-n) \leq (n+1)(m+1)$  which implies that

$$\left(\frac{m-n}{(n+1)(m+1)}\right)^{\frac{n+m+1}{m-n}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \tag{8}$$

Now from (9) and (10) we get

$$\left(\frac{m-n}{(n+1)(m+1)}\right)^{\frac{n+m+1}{m-n}} \left(\frac{nm}{(n+1)(m+1)}\right)^{\frac{nm}{m-n}} \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$\left(\frac{m-n}{(n+1)(m+1)}\right)^{\frac{(n+1)(m+1)}{m-n}} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{m-n}{nm}\right)^{\frac{nm}{m-n}}$$

$$\int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{M(Tx, Ty, t)}-1\right)} \varphi(s) ds \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{M(x, y, t)}-1\right)} \varphi(s) ds \tag{9}$$

On the other hand when  $x = \frac{1}{n}$  and  $y = 0$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\frac{n}{n+1} \leq 1$  and  $\frac{1}{n+1} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ . Which implies that

$$\left[\frac{n}{n+1}\right]^n \frac{1}{n+1} \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

or

$$\left[\frac{1}{n+1}\right]^{n+1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{1}{n}\right]^n$$

which implies

$$\int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{M(Tx, Ty, t)}-1\right)} \varphi(s) ds \leq \int_0^{\left(\frac{1}{M(x, y, t)}-1\right)} \varphi(s) ds \tag{10}$$

Equation (9) and equation (10) shows that  $T$  is integral fuzzy contraction and has unique fixed point 0. But  $T$  is not fuzzy contraction because

$$\sup_{x, y \in X} \left( \frac{\frac{1}{M(Tx, Ty, t)} - 1}{\frac{1}{M(x, y, t)} - 1} \right) = 1$$

□

**Acknowledgement:** The authors are grateful to the anonymous referee for a careful checking of the details and for helpful comments that improved this paper.

## References

- [1] I. Altun , H.P. Masiha ,F. Sabetghadam, Fixed-point theorems for integral-type contractions on partial metric spaces, *Ukrains' kyi Matematychnyi Zhurnal.* 68(06) (2016 ) 826-34.
- [2] A. Branciari, A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a general contractive condition of integral type, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 29(9) (2002) 531536.
- [3] A. George, P. Veeramani, On some results in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 64 (3) (1994) 395–399.
- [4] V.Gregori , A. Sapena , On fixed-point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy sets and systems.* 125(2) (2002) 245-52.
- [5] V. Gregori and S. Romaguera, Some properties of fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 115(3) (2000) 485489.
- [6] V. Gregori and A. Sapena, On fixed-point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 125(2) (2002) 245252.

- [7] T. Kamran, M. Samreen, N. Shahzad, Probabilistic G-contractions, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 1 (2013) 223.
- [8] F. Khojasteh, Z. Goodarzi, A. Razani, Some fixed point theorems of integral type contraction in cone metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*. (1) (2010) :189684.
- [9] Q. Kiran, A.M. Khan, W.K. Mashwani, Fixed point theorems for integral type contraction on space with two metrics, *Science International*. 28(3) (2016).
- [10] O. Kramosil, J. Michalek, Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, *Kybernetika (Praha)* 11 (1975) 326334.
- [11] Y. Liu and Z. Li, Coincidence point theorems in probabilistic and fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 158(1) (2007) 58-70.
- [12] D. Mihet, A Banach contraction theorem in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 144(3) (2004) 431-439.
- [13] D. Mihet, On fuzzy contractive mappings in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 158(8) (2007) 915-921 .
- [14] D. Mihet, A class of contractions in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 161(8) (2010) 1131-1137.
- [15] ] D. Qiu and L. Shu, Supremum metric on the space of fuzzy sets and common fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings, *Information Sciences*, 178(18) (2008) 3539-3604.
- [16] M. Samreen and T. Kamran, Fixed point theorems for integral G-contractions, *Fixed Point Theory Appl*, (2013), 11 pages.
- [17] R. Shah, A. Zada, I. Khan, Some fixed point theorems of integral type contraction in cone b-metric spaces, *Turkish Journal of Analysis and Number Theory*, 3(6) (2015) 2105.
- [18] L.A. Zadeh, Fuzzy sets, *Information and Control* 8 (1965) 338-353.