

Fixed point theorems of C -class functions in S_b -metric space

D. Dhamodharan*, Yumnam Rohen¹ and Arslan Hojat Ansari² 

- * Department of Mathematics, Jamal Mohamed College(Autonomous), Trichy, Tamilnadu, India.
1 Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology Manipur, Manipur, India.
2 Department of Mathematics, Islamic Azad University, Karaj Branch, Karaj, Iran.

Academic Editor: [Thabet Abdeljawed](#)

Abstract: In this paper, we use C -class function in order to obtain some coupled fixed point theorems in the setting of S_b -metric space. Some results are also given in the form of corollaries. Examples are also given to verify our results.

Keywords: Coupled fixed point, S_b metric space and C -class function.

MSC: 47H10, 54H25.

1 Introduction and mathematical preliminaries

S.Sedghi, N. Shobe and A. Aliouche [30] introduced the concept of S -metric space by modifying D -metric and G -metric spaces. Sedhi et. al. [30] remarked that it is easy to see that every D^* -metric is S -metric, but in general the converse is not true. Dhamodharan and krishnakumar [35] introduced the concept of cone S - metric space. Every S metric space is cone S metric space but converse is not true. S. Sedghi and Nguyen van Dung [27] remarked that every S -metric space is topologically equivalent to a b -metric space. Sedghi et.al. [30] claimed that S -metric space is a generalization of a G -metric, that is, every G -metric is an S -metric. But Dung et.al. [32] explained with examples that the assersion of Sedghi et.al [30] is not correct. Dung et.al. [32] further claimed that the class of all S -metric and the class of all G -metric are all distinct. Bakhtin [17] introduced the concept of b -metric space as a generalization of metric space.

© by the SUMA Publishing Group.

DOI: 10.30697/rfpta-2018-018

*Corresponding author E-mail: dharan.raj28@yahoo.co.in

Received June 19, 2018; revised September 05, 2018; accepted September 25, 2018.

This is an open access article under the CC BY license <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

S. Gerwick [19, 18] extended the Banach contraction principle in b -metric space. Inspired by the works of Bakhtin [17] and Sedghi et. al. [30], Nizar and Nabil [33] introduced the concept of S_b -metric space.

Definition 1.1. [33] Let X be a nonempty set and let $b \geq 1$ be a given number. A function $S_b : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be S_b -metric if and only if for all $x, y, z, t \in X$; the following conditions hold:

- (i) $S_b(x, y, z) = 0$ if and only if $x = y = z$,
- (ii) $S_b(x, x, y) = S_b(y, y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$,
- (iii) $S_b(x, y, z) \leq b[S_b(x, x, t) + S_b(y, y, t) + S_b(z, z, t)]$

The pair (X, S_b) is called an S_b -metric space.

Remark 1.2. [33] Note that the class of S_b -metric spaces is larger than the class of S -metric spaces. Indeed, every S -metric space is an S_b -metric space with $s = 1$. However, the converse is not always true.

Example 1.3. [33] Let X be a nonempty set and $card(X) \geq 5$. Suppose $X = X_1 \cup X_2$ a partition of X such that $card(X_1) \geq 4$. Let $b \geq 1$. Then

$$S_b(x, y, z) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } x = y = z = 0; \\ 3s, & \text{if } (x, y, z) \in X_1^3; \\ 1, & \text{if } (x, y, z) \notin X_1^3. \end{cases}$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. S_b is a S_b -metric on X with coefficient $b \geq 1$.

Definition 1.4. [33] Let (X, S_b) be an S_b -metric space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Then

- (i) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called convergent if and only if there exists $z \in X$ such that $S_b(x_n, x_n, z) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In this case we write $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z$.
- (ii) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is called Cauchy sequence if and only if $S_b(x_n, x_n, x_m) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$.
- (iii) (X, S_b) is said to be a complete S_b -metric space if every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to a point $x \in X$ such that

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} S_b(x_n, x_n, x_m) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_b(x_n, x_n, x) = S_b(x, x, x)$$

(iv) Define the diameter of a subset Y of X by

$$diam(Y) := Sup\{S_b(x, y, z) | x, y, z \in Y\}.$$

Rohen et al. [37] also give the definition of S_b -metric space as follows.

Definition 1.5. Let X be a nonempty set and let $b \geq 1$ be a given number. A function $S : X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be S_b -metric if and only if for all $x, y, z, t \in X$; the following conditions holds:

- (i) $S(x, y, z) = 0$ if and only if $x = y = z$,
- (ii) $S(x, y, z) \leq b[S(x, x, t) + S(y, y, t) + S(z, z, t)]$

the pair (X, S) is called an S_b -metric space.

Definition 1.6. A S_b -metric S is said to be symmetric if

$$S(x, x, y) = S(y, y, x) \text{ for all } x, y \in X$$

In 2014 A.H.Ansari [34] introduced the concept of a C -class functions which cover a large class of contractive conditions.

Definition 1.7. [34]. A continuous function $F : [0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called C -class function if for any $s, t \in [0, \infty)$, the following conditions hold:

- (i) $F(s, t) \leq s$;
- (ii) $F(s, t) = s$ implies that either $s = 0$ or $t = 0$.

An extra condition on F that $f(0, 0) = 0$ could be imposed in some cases if required. The letter \mathcal{C} will denote the class of all C - functions.

Example 1.8. [34]. The following examples show that the class \mathcal{C} is nonempty:

1. $F(s, t) = s - t$.
2. $F(s, t) = ms$, for some $m \in (0, 1)$.
3. $f(s, t) = \frac{s}{(1+t)^r}$ for some $r \in (0, \infty)$.
4. $f(s, t) = \log(t + a^s)/(1 + t)$, for some $a > 1$.
5. $f(s, t) = \ln(1 + a^s)/2$, for $e > a > 1$. Indeed $f(s, t) = s$ implies that $s = 0$.
6. $f(s, t) = (s + l)^{(1/(1+t)^r)} - l$, $l > 1$, for $r \in (0, \infty)$.
7. $f(s, t) = s \log_{t+a} a$, for $a > 1$.
8. $f(s, t) = s - \left(\frac{1+s}{2+s}\right)\left(\frac{t}{1+t}\right)$.
9. $f(s, t) = s\beta(s)$, where $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ and continuous
10. $f(s, t) = s - \frac{t}{k+t}$.
11. $f(s, t) = s - \varphi(s)$, where $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function such that $\varphi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.
12. $f(s, t) = sh(s, t)$, where $h : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a continuous function such that $h(t, s) < 1$ for all $t, s > 0$.
13. $f(s, t) = s - \left(\frac{2+t}{1+t}\right)t$.
14. $f(s, t) = \sqrt[n]{\ln(1 + s^n)}$.
15. $F(s, t) = \phi(s)$, where $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a upper semi-continuous function such that $\phi(0) = 0$ and $\phi(t) < t$ for $t > 0$.

16. $F(s, t) = \frac{s}{(1+s)^r}; r \in (0, \infty).$

17. $F(s, t) = s\pi^{-1/2} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-x}}{\sqrt{x+t}} dx.$

Let Φ_u denote the class of the functions $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which satisfy the following conditions:

- (i) φ is continuous ;
- (ii) $\varphi(t) > 0, t > 0$ and $\varphi(0) \geq 0$.

Definition 1.9. [41] A function $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called an altering distance function if the following properties are satisfied:

- (i) ψ is non-decreasing and continuous,
- (ii) $\psi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.

Remark 1.10. We let Ψ denote the class of the altering distance functions.

Definition 1.11. [41] A function $\psi : R \rightarrow R$ is called total altering distance function if the following properties are satisfied:

- (i) ψ is non-decreasing and continuous,
- (ii) $\psi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.

Remark 1.12. We let Ψ_{inf} denote the class of the total altering distance functions.

Definition 1.13. [41] A tripled (ψ, φ, F) where $\psi \in \Psi, \varphi \in \Phi_u$ and $F \in \mathcal{C}$ is said to be monotone if for any $x, y \in [0, \infty)$

$$x \leq y \implies F(\psi(x), \varphi(x)) \leq F(\psi(y), \varphi(y)).$$

Example 1.14. [41] Let $F(s, t) = s - t, \varphi(x) = \sqrt{x}$

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{x} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ x^2, & \text{if } x > 1 \end{cases},$$

then (ψ, φ, F) is monotone.

Example 1.15. [41] let $F(s, t) = s - t, \varphi(x) = x^2$

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{x} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ x^2, & \text{if } x > 1 \end{cases},$$

then (ψ, φ, F) is not monotone.

2 Main Results

Now we prove the following theorems

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, S) be a complete symmetric S_b -metric space with parameter $b \geq 1$ and let the mappings $f, g : X^2 \rightarrow X$ satisfying

$$\psi(S(f(x, y), f(x, y), g(u, v)))$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq F\left(\psi\left(a_1 \frac{S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)}{2} + a_2 \frac{S(f(x, y), f(x, y), g(u, v))S(x, x, u)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)}\right.\right. \\ &\quad + a_3 \frac{S(f(x, y), f(x, y), g(u, v))S(y, y, v)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} + a_4 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(x, x, u)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} \\ &\quad + a_5 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(y, y, v)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} + a_6 \frac{S(u, u, g(u, v))S(x, x, u)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} \\ &\quad \left.\left. + a_7 \frac{S(u, u, g(u, v))S(y, y, v)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)}\right), \varphi\left(a_1 \frac{S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)}{2}\right.\right. \\ &\quad + a_2 \frac{S(f(x, y), f(x, y), g(u, v))S(x, x, u)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} + a_3 \frac{S(f(x, y), f(x, y), g(u, v))S(y, y, v)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} \\ &\quad + a_4 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(x, x, u)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} + a_5 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(y, y, v)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} \\ &\quad \left.\left. + a_6 \frac{S(u, u, g(u, v))S(x, x, u)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} + a_7 \frac{S(u, u, g(u, v))S(y, y, v)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)}\right)\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ with $F \in C, \psi \in \Psi, \phi \in \phi_u$ and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_7 \geq 0$ with $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 + a_7 = 1$ and $(b < \frac{1-a_2-a_3-a_6-a_7}{a_1+a_4+a_5})$. Then f and g have a unique common coupled fixed point in X .

Proof. Let $x_0, y_0 \in X$ be arbitrary points.

Define

$$\begin{aligned} x_{2k+1} &= f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}) \quad , \quad y_{2k+1} = f(y_{2k}, x_{2k}) \\ x_{2k+2} &= g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}) \quad , \quad y_{2k+2} = g(y_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})) &= \psi(S(f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}))) \\ &\leq F\left(\psi\left(a_1 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{2}\right.\right. \\ &\quad + a_2 \frac{S(f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}))S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\ &\quad + a_3 \frac{S(f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}))S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\ &\quad + a_4 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}))S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\ &\quad + a_5 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}))S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\ &\quad + a_6 \frac{S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}))S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\ &\quad \left.\left. + a_7 \frac{S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}))S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}\right), \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \varphi(a_1) \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{2} \\
 & + a_2 \frac{S(f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}))S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
 & + a_3 \frac{S(f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}))S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
 & + a_4 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}))S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
 & + a_5 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}))S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
 & + a_6 \frac{S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}))S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
 & + a_7 \frac{S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}))S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
 = & F(\psi(a_1) \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{2} \\
 & + a_2 \frac{S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
 & + a_3 \frac{S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
 & + a_4 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
 & + a_5 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
 & + a_6 \frac{S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
 & + a_7 \frac{S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
 & \varphi(a_1) \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{2} \\
 & + a_2 \frac{S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
 & + a_3 \frac{S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
 & + a_4 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
 & + a_5 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
 & + a_6 \frac{S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
 & + a_7 \frac{S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{1 + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})} \\
 \leq & F(\psi(a_1) \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{2} \\
 & + (a_2 + a_3)S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) + (a_4 + a_5)S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) \\
 & + (a_6 + a_7)S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \varphi\left(a_1 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{2} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + (a_2 + a_3)S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) + (a_4 + a_5)S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + (a_6 + a_7)S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})) \right) \\
 & \leq a_1 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{2} \\
 & \quad + (a_2 + a_3)S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) + (a_4 + a_5)S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) \\
 & \quad + (a_6 + a_7)S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \\
 \\
 & \Rightarrow (1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_6 - a_7)S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \leq \left(\frac{a_1}{2} + a_4 + a_5\right)S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) \\
 & \quad + \frac{a_1}{2}S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1}) \\
 & \Rightarrow S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \leq \frac{\frac{a_1}{2} + a_4 + a_5}{1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_6 - a_7}S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) \\
 & \quad + \frac{\frac{a_1}{2}}{1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_6 - a_7}S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Proceeding similarly one can prove that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Rightarrow S(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2}) & \leq \frac{\frac{a_1}{2} + a_4 + a_5}{1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_6 - a_7}S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1}) \\
 & \quad + \frac{\frac{a_1}{2}}{1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_6 - a_7}S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Adding (2.2) and (2.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) & \quad + \quad S(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2}) \\
 & \leq \frac{a_1 + a_4 + a_5}{1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_6 - a_7}S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) & \quad + \quad S(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2}) \\
 & \leq h[S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})]
 \end{aligned}$$

where $h = \frac{a_1 + a_4 + a_5}{1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_6 - a_7}$.

Also, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3}) & \quad + \quad S(y_{2k+2}, y_{2k+2}, y_{2k+3}) \\
 & \leq hS(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) + S(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2}) \\
 & \leq h^2[S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})]
 \end{aligned}$$

Continuing this way, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) & \\
 & \leq h[S(x_{n-1}, x_{n-1}, x_n) + S(y_{n-1}, y_{n-1}, y_n)] \\
 & \leq h^2[S(x_{n-2}, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}) + S(y_{n-2}, y_{n-2}, y_{n-1})] \\
 & \cdot \\
 & \cdot \\
 & \cdot \\
 & \leq h^n[S(x_0, x_0, x_1) + S(y_0, y_0, y_1)]
 \end{aligned}$$

If $S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) = S_n$, then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, we conclude that the sequence $\{S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) = S_n\}$ is nonnegative and nonincreasing. As a result, there exists $r \geq 0$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) = S_n = r$. We claim that $r = 0$. Suppose, on the contrary, that $r > 0$. Then, on account of (2.1), we get that

$$r \leq F(r, \varphi(r)),$$

which yields that $r = 0$ or $\varphi(r) = 0$. We derive

$$r = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

$$S_n \leq hS_{n-1} \leq h^2S_{n-2} \leq \dots \leq h^n S_0$$

So for $m > n$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(x_n, x_n, x_m) + S(y_n, y_n, y_m) &\leq b[2S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_m) \\
 &\quad + 2S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) + S(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_m)] \\
 &= 2b[S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})] \\
 &\quad + b[S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_m) + S(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_m)] \\
 &\leq 2b[S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})] \\
 &\quad + b^2[2S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + S(x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}, x_m) \\
 &\quad + 2S(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + S(y_{n+2}, y_{n+2}, y_m)] \\
 &= 2b[S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})] \\
 &\quad + 2b^2[S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + S(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})] \\
 &\quad + b^2[S(x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}, x_m) + S(y_{n+2}, y_{n+2}, y_m)] \\
 &\leq 2b[S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})] \\
 &\quad + 2b^2[S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + S(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})] \\
 &\quad + \dots + 2b^{m-n-1}[S(x_{m-2}, x_{m-2}, x_{m-1}) + S(y_{m-2}, y_{m-2}, y_{m-1})] \\
 &\quad + b^{m-n}[S(x_{m-1}, x_{m-1}, x_m) + S(y_{m-1}, y_{m-1}, y_m)] \\
 &\leq 2b[S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})] \\
 &\quad + 2b^2[S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + S(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})] \\
 &\quad + 2b^3[S(x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}) + S(y_{n+2}, y_{n+2}, y_{n+3})] \\
 &\quad + \dots + 2b^{m-n-1}[S(x_{m-2}, x_{m-2}, x_{m-1}) + S(y_{m-2}, y_{m-2}, y_{m-1})] \\
 &\quad + 2b^{m-n}[S(x_{m-1}, x_{m-1}, x_m) + S(y_{m-1}, y_{m-1}, y_m)] \\
 &\leq 2\{bh^n + b^2h^{n+1} + b^3h^{n+2} + \dots + b^{m-n}h^{m-1}\}S_0 \\
 &< 2bh^n[1 + bh + (bh)^2 + \dots]S_0 \\
 &= \frac{2bh^n}{1 - bh}S_0 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in X . As X is complete S_b -metric space, so there exists $x, y \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $y_n \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now we will prove that $x = f(x, y)$ and $y = f(y, x)$. On the contrary suppose that $x \neq f(x, y)$ and $y \neq f(y, x)$. Then $S(x, x, f(x, y)) = l_1 > 0$ and $S(y, y, f(y, x)) = l_2 > 0$.

Using inequality (2.1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 l_1 &= S(x, x, f(x, y)) \\
 &\leq b[2S(x, x, x_{n+1}) + S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, f(x, y))] \\
 &= b[2S(x, x, x_{n+1}) + S(f(x_n, y_n), f(x_n, y_n), f(x, y))] \\
 &\leq 2bS(x, x, x_{n+1}) + F(\psi(b \left[a_1 \frac{S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)}{2} \right. \\
 &\quad + a_2 \frac{S(f(x_n, y_n), f(x_n, y_n), f(x, y))S(x_n, x_n, x)}{1 + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)} \\
 &\quad + a_3 \frac{S(f(x_n, y_n), f(x_n, y_n), f(x, y))S(y_n, y_n, y)}{1 + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)} \\
 &\quad + a_4 \frac{S(x_n, x_n, f(x_n, y_n))S(x_n, x_n, x)}{1 + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)} \\
 &\quad + a_5 \frac{S(x_n, x_n, f(x_n, y_n))S(y_n, y_n, y)}{1 + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)} \\
 &\quad + a_6 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(x_n, x_n, x)}{1 + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)} \\
 &\quad \left. + a_7 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(y_n, y_n, y)}{1 + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)} \right]) \\
 &\varphi(b \left[a_1 \frac{S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)}{2} \right. \\
 &\quad + a_2 \frac{S(f(x_n, y_n), f(x_n, y_n), f(x, y))S(x_n, x_n, x)}{1 + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)} \\
 &\quad + a_3 \frac{S(f(x_n, y_n), f(x_n, y_n), f(x, y))S(y_n, y_n, y)}{1 + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)} \\
 &\quad + a_4 \frac{S(x_n, x_n, f(x_n, y_n))S(x_n, x_n, x)}{1 + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)} \\
 &\quad + a_5 \frac{S(x_n, x_n, f(x_n, y_n))S(y_n, y_n, y)}{1 + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)} \\
 &\quad + a_6 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(x_n, x_n, x)}{1 + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)} \\
 &\quad \left. + a_7 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(y_n, y_n, y)}{1 + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)} \right]) \\
 &\leq 2bS(x, x, x_{n+1}) + b \left[a_1 \frac{S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)}{2} \right. \\
 &\quad + a_2 \frac{S(f(x_n, y_n), f(x_n, y_n), f(x, y))S(x_n, x_n, x)}{1 + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)} \\
 &\quad + a_3 \frac{S(f(x_n, y_n), f(x_n, y_n), f(x, y))S(y_n, y_n, y)}{1 + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)} \\
 &\quad + a_4 \frac{S(x_n, x_n, f(x_n, y_n))S(x_n, x_n, x)}{1 + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)} + a_5 \frac{S(x_n, x_n, f(x_n, y_n))S(y_n, y_n, y)}{1 + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)} \\
 &\quad \left. + a_6 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(x_n, x_n, x)}{1 + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)} + a_7 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(y_n, y_n, y)}{1 + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are convergent to x and y , therefore by taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get $l_1 \leq 0$, which is a contradiction, so $S(x, x, f(x, y)) = 0$ which gives $x = f(x, y)$.

Similarly, we can prove that $y = f(y, x)$. Also, we can prove that $x = g(x, y)$ and $y = g(y, x)$. Hence (x, y) is a common coupled fixed point of f and g .

In order to prove the uniqueness of the coupled fixed point, if possible let (p, q) be the second common coupled fixed point of f and g .

Then by using inequality (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi(S(x, x, p)) &= \psi(S(f(x, y), f(x, y), g(p, q))) \\
 &\leq F(\psi(\frac{a_1}{2}\{S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)\}) + a_2 \frac{S(f(x, y), f(x, y), g(p, q))S(x, x, p)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} \\
 &\quad + a_3 \frac{S(f(x, y), f(x, y), g(p, q))S(y, y, q)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} + a_4 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(x, x, p)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} \\
 &\quad + a_5 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(y, y, q)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} + a_6 \frac{S(p, p, g(p, q))S(x, x, p)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} \\
 &\quad + a_7 \frac{S(p, p, g(p, q))S(y, y, q)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)}, \varphi(\frac{a_1}{2}\{S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)\}) \\
 &\quad + a_2 \frac{S(f(x, y), f(x, y), g(p, q))S(x, x, p)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} + a_3 \frac{S(f(x, y), f(x, y), g(p, q))S(y, y, q)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} \\
 &\quad + a_4 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(x, x, p)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} + a_5 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(y, y, q)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} \\
 &\quad + a_6 \frac{S(p, p, g(p, q))S(x, x, p)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} + a_7 \frac{S(p, p, g(p, q))S(y, y, q)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)}) \\
 &= F(\psi(\frac{a_1}{2}\{S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)\}) + a_2 \frac{S(x, x, p)S(x, x, p)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} \\
 &\quad + a_3 \frac{S(x, x, p)S(y, y, q)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} + a_4 \frac{S(x, x, x)S(x, x, p)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} \\
 &\quad + a_5 \frac{S(x, x, x)S(y, y, q)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} + a_6 \frac{S(p, p, p)S(x, x, p)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} \\
 &\quad + a_7 \frac{S(p, p, p)S(y, y, q)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)}, \varphi(\frac{a_1}{2}\{S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)\}) \\
 &\quad + a_2 \frac{S(x, x, p)S(x, x, p)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} + a_3 \frac{S(x, x, p)S(y, y, q)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} \\
 &\quad + a_4 \frac{S(x, x, x)S(x, x, p)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} + a_5 \frac{S(x, x, x)S(y, y, q)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} \\
 &\quad + a_6 \frac{S(p, p, p)S(x, x, p)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} + a_7 \frac{S(p, p, p)S(y, y, q)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)}) \\
 &\leq \psi(\frac{a_1}{2}\{S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)\}) + a_2 \frac{S(x, x, p)S(x, x, p)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} \\
 &\quad + a_3 \frac{S(x, x, p)S(y, y, q)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} + a_4 \frac{S(x, x, x)S(x, x, p)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} \\
 &\quad + a_5 \frac{S(x, x, x)S(y, y, q)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} + a_6 \frac{S(p, p, p)S(x, x, p)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)} \\
 &\quad + a_7 \frac{S(p, p, p)S(y, y, q)}{1 + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)}) \\
 &\Rightarrow S(x, x, p) \leq \frac{a_1}{2}\{S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)\} + a_2 S(x, x, p) + a_3 S(x, x, p) \\
 &\Rightarrow (1 - a_2 - a_3)S(x, x, p) \leq \frac{a_1}{2}S(x, x, p) + \frac{a_1}{2}S(y, y, q)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow (1 - \frac{a_1}{2} - a_2 - a_3)S(x, x, p) &\leq \frac{a_1}{2}S(y, y, q) \\ \Rightarrow S(x, x, p) &\leq \frac{a_1}{2 - a_1 - 2a_2 - 2a_3}S(y, y, q) \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Similarly,

$$S(y, y, q) \leq \frac{a_1}{2 - a_1 - 2a_2 - 2a_3}S(x, x, p) \quad (2.6)$$

Adding (2.5) and (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q) &\leq \frac{a_1}{2 - a_1 - 2a_2 - 2a_3}[S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)] \\ \Rightarrow [1 - \frac{a_1}{2 - a_1 - 2a_2 - 2a_3}][S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)] &\leq 0 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{2(1 - a_1 - a_2 - a_3)}{2 - a_1 - 2a_2 - 2a_3}[S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)] &\leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 < 1$, $\frac{2(1 - a_1 - a_2 - a_3)}{2 - a_1 - 2a_2 - 2a_3} > 0$.

Hence $S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q) = 0$,

which implies that $x = p$ and $y = q \Rightarrow (x, y) = (p, q)$.

Thus f and g have unique coupled common fixed point. This completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.2. Let (X, S) be a complete symmetric S_b -metric space with parameter $b \geq 1$ and let the mapping $f : X^2 \rightarrow X$ satisfying

$$\psi(S(f(x, y), f(x, y), f(u, v)))$$

$$\begin{aligned} \leq & F(\psi(a_1 \frac{S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)}{2} + a_2 \frac{S(f(x, y), f(x, y), f(u, v))S(x, x, u)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} \\ & + a_3 \frac{S(f(x, y), f(x, y), f(u, v))S(y, y, v)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} + a_4 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(x, x, u)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} \\ & + a_5 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(y, y, v)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} + a_6 \frac{S(u, u, f(u, v))S(x, x, u)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} \\ & + a_7 \frac{S(u, u, f(u, v))S(y, y, v)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)}, \varphi(a_1 \frac{S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)}{2} \\ & + a_2 \frac{S(f(x, y), f(x, y), f(u, v))S(x, x, u)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} + a_3 \frac{S(f(x, y), f(x, y), f(u, v))S(y, y, v)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} \\ & + a_4 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(x, x, u)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} + a_5 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(y, y, v)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} \\ & + a_6 \frac{S(u, u, f(u, v))S(x, x, u)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)} + a_7 \frac{S(u, u, f(u, v))S(y, y, v)}{1 + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)})) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ with $F \in \mathcal{C}$, $\psi \in \Psi$, $\phi \in \phi_u$ and $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_7 \geq 0$ with $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5 + a_6 + a_7 < 1$.

Then f has a unique coupled fixed point in X .

Theorem 2.3. Let (X, S) be a complete symmetric S_b -metric space with parameter $b \geq 1$ and let the mappings

$f, g : X^2 \rightarrow X$ satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(S(f(x, y), f(x, y), g(u, v))) &\leq F\left(\psi\left(\beta_1 \frac{S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)}{2}\right.\right. \\ &\quad \left.\left. + \beta_2 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(u, u, g(u, v))}{1 + s[S(x, x, g(x, y) + S(u, u, f(u, v)) + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)]}\right)\right) \\ &\quad \varphi\left(\beta_1 \frac{S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)}{2}\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \beta_2 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(u, u, g(u, v))}{1 + s[S(x, x, g(x, y) + S(u, u, f(u, v)) + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)]}\right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ with $F \in C, \psi \in \Psi, \phi \in \phi_u$ and β_1, β_2 are non-negative real numbers with $\beta_1 + \beta_2 < 1$ and $b < \frac{1-\beta_2}{\beta_1}$. Then f and g have unique common coupled fixed point.

Proof. Let x_0, y_0 be arbitrary points. Define

$$\begin{aligned} x_{2k+1} &= f(x_{2k}, x_{2k}) \quad , \quad y_{2k+1} = f(y_{2k}, x_{2k}) \\ x_{2k+2} &= g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}) \quad , \quad y_{2k+2} = g(y_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi(S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})) \\ &= \psi(S(f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}), g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}))) \\ &\leq F\left(\psi\left(\beta_1 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{2}\right.\right. \\ &\quad \left.\left. + \beta_2 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}))S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}))}{1 + s[S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1})) + S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, f(x_{2k}, y_{2k})) + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})]}\right)\right) \\ &\quad \varphi\left(\beta_1 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{2}\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \beta_2 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, f(x_{2k}, y_{2k}))S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}))}{1 + s[S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, g(x_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1})) + S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, f(x_{2k}, y_{2k})) + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})]}\right) \end{aligned} \Bigg) \\ &= F\left(\psi\left(\beta_1 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{2}\right.\right. \\ &\quad \left.\left. + \beta_2 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})}{1 + s[S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+2}) + S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}) + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})]}\right)\right) \\ &\quad \varphi\left(\beta_1 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})}{2}\right. \\ &\quad \left. + \beta_2 \frac{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1})S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})}{1 + s[S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+2}) + S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}) + S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})]}\right) \end{aligned} \Bigg) \\ &\leq F\left(\psi\left(\frac{\beta_1}{2}\{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})\} + \beta_2 S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})\right),\right. \\ &\quad \left.\varphi\left(\frac{\beta_1}{2}\{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})\} + \beta_2 S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2})\right)\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\beta_1}{2}\{S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})\} + \beta_2 S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow (1 - \beta_2)S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) &\leq \frac{\beta_1}{2}[S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})] \\ \Rightarrow S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) &\leq \frac{\beta_1}{2(1 - \beta_2)}[S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})] \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Similarly we can show that

$$S(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2}) \leq \frac{\beta_1}{2(1 - \beta_2)}[S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})] \quad (2.9)$$

Adding (2.8) and (2.9) we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) + S(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2}) \\ \leq \frac{\beta_1}{1 - \beta_2}[S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})] \\ = k[S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})] \end{aligned}$$

where $k = \frac{\beta_1}{1 - \beta_2}$.

Similarly, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned} S(x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+2}, x_{2k+3}) + S(y_{2k+2}, y_{2k+2}, y_{2k+3}) \\ \leq k[S(x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+1}, x_{2k+2}) + S(y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+1}, y_{2k+2})] \\ \leq k^2[S(x_{2k}, x_{2k}, x_{2k+1}) + S(y_{2k}, y_{2k}, y_{2k+1})] \end{aligned}$$

If $S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) = S_n$, then for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we conclude that the sequence $\{S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) = S_n\}$ is nonnegative and nonincreasing. As a result, there exists $r \geq 0$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) = S_n = r$. We claim that $r = 0$. Suppose, on the contrary, that $r > 0$. Then, on account of (2.7), we get that

$$r \leq F(r, \varphi(r)),$$

which yields that $r = 0$, or $\varphi(r) = 0$. We derive

$$\begin{aligned} r = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) = 0. \\ S_n \leq kS_{n-1} \leq k^2S_{n-2} \leq \dots \leq k^n S_0 \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

So, for $m > n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(x_n, x_n, x_m) + S(y_n, y_n, y_m) &\leq b[2S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_m) \\
 &\quad + 2S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1}) + S(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_m)] \\
 &= b[2S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + 2S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})] \\
 &\quad + b[S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_m) + S(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_m)] \\
 &\leq 2b[S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})] \\
 &\quad + b^2[2S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + S(x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}, x_m) \\
 &\quad + 2S(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + S(y_{n+2}, y_{n+2}, y_m)] \\
 &= 2b[S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})] \\
 &\quad + 2b^2[S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + S(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})] \\
 &\quad + b[S(x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}, x_m) + S(y_{n+2}, y_{n+2}, y_m)] \\
 &\leq 2b[S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})] \\
 &\quad + 2b^2[S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + S(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})] \\
 &\quad + \dots + 2b^{m-n-1}[S(x_{m-2}, x_{m-2}, x_{m-1}) + S(y_{m-2}, y_{m-2}, y_{m-1})] \\
 &\quad + b^{m-n}[S(x_{m-1}, x_{m-1}, x_m) + S(y_{m-1}, y_{m-1}, y_m)] \\
 &\leq 2b[S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1}) + S(y_n, y_n, y_{n+1})] \\
 &\quad + 2b^2[S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + S(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, y_{n+2})] \\
 &\quad + \dots + 2b^{m-n-1}[S(x_{m-2}, x_{m-2}, x_{m-1}) + S(y_{m-2}, y_{m-2}, y_{m-1})] \\
 &\quad + 2b^{m-n}[S(x_{m-1}, x_{m-1}, x_m) + S(y_{m-1}, y_{m-1}, y_m)] \\
 &\leq 2\{bk^n + b^2k^{n+1} + \dots + b^{m-n}k^{m-1}\}S_0 \\
 &< 2bk^n[1 + bk + (bk)^2 + \dots]S_0 \\
 &= \frac{2bk^n}{1 - bk}S_0 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences in X . Since X is complete S_b -metric space, there exist $x, y \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $y_n \rightarrow y$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, we will show that $x = f(x, y)$ and $y = f(y, x)$. Suppose on contrary that $x \neq f(x, y)$ and $y \neq f(y, x)$, so that $S(x, x, f(x, y)) = l_1 > 0$ and $S(y, y, f(y, x)) = l_2 > 0$. Consider the following and using inequality (2.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \psi(l_1) &= \psi(S(x, x, f(x, y))) \\
 &\leq \psi(b[2S(x, x, x_{n+1}) + S(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}, f(x, y))]) \\
 &\leq \psi(bS(x, x, x_{n+1})) + \psi(bS(f(x_n, y_n), f(x_n, y_n), f(x, y))) \\
 &\leq \psi(bS(x, x, x_{n+1})) + F(\psi(b[\beta_1 \frac{S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)}{2} \\
 &\quad + \beta_2 \frac{S(x_n, x_n, f(x_n, y_n))S(x, x, f(x, y))}{1 + b[S(x_n, x_n, f(x, y)) + S(x, x, f(x_n, y_n)) + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)]}]), \\
 &\quad \varphi(s[\beta_1 \frac{S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)}{2} \\
 &\quad + \beta_2 \frac{S(x_n, x_n, f(x_n, y_n))S(x, x, f(x, y))}{1 + b[S(x_n, x_n, f(x, y)) + S(x, x, f(x_n, y_n)) + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)]}])) \\
 &= \psi(bS(x, x, x_{n+1})) + F(\psi(\frac{b\beta_1}{2}[S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)] \\
 &\quad + \beta_2 \frac{S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})S(x, x, f(x, y))}{1 + b[S(x_n, x_n, f(x, y)) + S(x, x, x_{n+1}) + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)]} \\
 &\quad + \frac{b\beta_1}{2}[S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)] \\
 &\quad + \beta_2 \frac{S(x_n, x_n, x_{n+1})S(x, x, f(x, y))}{1 + b[S(x_n, x_n, f(x, y)) + S(x, x, x_{n+1}) + S(x_n, x_n, x) + S(y_n, y_n, y)]}])
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$S(x, x, f(x, y)) \leq 0$$

Therefore

$$S(x, x, f(x, y)) = 0$$

which implies that $x = f(x, y)$. Similarly, we can prove that $y = f(y, x)$. Also, we can prove that $x = g(x, y)$ and $y = g(y, x)$. Hence, (x, y) is a common coupled fixed point of f and g .

In order to prove the uniqueness of the common coupled fixed point of f and g , if possible let (p, q) be the second common coupled fixed point of f and g .

Then by using inequality (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(x, x, p) &= S(f(x, y), f(x, y), g(p, q)) \\
 &\leq \frac{\beta_1}{2} \{S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)\} \\
 &\quad + \beta_2 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(p, p, g(p, q))}{1 + s[S(x, x, g(p, q)) + S(p, p, f(x, y)) + S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)]} \\
 &\Rightarrow S(x, x, p) \leq \frac{\beta_1}{2} \{S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)\} \\
 &\Rightarrow (1 - \frac{\beta_1}{2})S(x, x, p) \leq \frac{\beta_1}{2} S(y, y, q) \\
 &\Rightarrow S(x, x, p) \leq \frac{\beta_1}{2 - \beta_1} S(y, y, q) \tag{2.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$S(y, y, q) \leq \frac{\beta_1}{2 - \beta_1} S(x, x, p) \tag{2.12}$$

Adding (2.11) and (2.12) we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q) &\leq \frac{\beta_1}{2 - \beta_1} [S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)] \\ \Rightarrow (1 - \frac{\beta_1}{2 - \beta_1}) [S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)] &\leq 0 \\ \Rightarrow \frac{2(1 - \beta_1)}{2 - \beta_1} [S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q)] &\leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

But $\frac{2(1 - \beta_1)}{2 - \beta_1} > 0$. Therefore $S(x, x, p) + S(y, y, q) = 0$. Which implies that $x = p$ and $y = q \Rightarrow (x, y) = (p, q)$. Thus f and g have a unique common coupled fixed point. \square

This completes the proof.

Corollary 2.4. Let (X, S) be a complete symmetric S_b -metric space with parameter $b \geq 1$ and let the mapping $f : X^2 \rightarrow X$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(S(f(x, y), f(x, y), f(u, v))) &\leq F(\psi(\beta_1 \frac{S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)}{2} \\ &+ \beta_2 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(u, u, f(u, v))}{1 + s[S(x, x, f(u, v)) + S(u, u, f(x, y)) + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)]}) \\ &\varphi(\beta_1 \frac{S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)}{2} \\ &+ \beta_2 \frac{S(x, x, f(x, y))S(u, u, f(u, v))}{1 + s[S(x, x, f(u, v)) + S(u, u, f(x, y)) + S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)]})) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$ with $F \in C$, $\psi \in \Psi$, $\phi \in \Phi_u$ and β_1, β_2 are non-negative real numbers with $\beta_1 + \beta_2 < 1$. Then f has a unique coupled fixed point.

Example 2.5. let \mathbb{R} be the real line. Then

$$S(x, y, z) = |x - z| + |y - z| \text{ for all } x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}$$

is an S_b -metric on \mathbb{R} .

Let $f(x, y) = g(x, y) = \frac{2x - y + 11}{12}$, $b = 2$, $\psi(t) = t$ and $\varphi(t) = t$

Then the pair (f, g) has the mixed weakly monotone property and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(S(f(x, y), f(x, y), g(u, v))) &= F(\psi(2 \left| \frac{2x - y + 11}{12} - \frac{2u - v + 11}{12} \right|), \varphi(2 \left| \frac{2x - y + 11}{12} - \frac{2u - v + 11}{12} \right|)) \\ &= F(2 \left| \frac{2x - y + 11}{12} - \frac{2u - v + 11}{12} \right|, 2 \left| \frac{2x - y + 11}{12} - \frac{2u - v + 11}{12} \right|) \\ &= F(\frac{|x - u|}{3} + \frac{|y - v|}{6}, \frac{|x - u|}{3} + \frac{|y - v|}{6}) \\ &\leq F(\frac{1}{3}(|x - u| + |y - v|), \frac{1}{3}(|x - u| + |y - v|)) \\ &= F(\frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)}{2} \right), \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)}{2} \right)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{S(x, x, u) + S(y, y, v)}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

In equation (2.1) is satisfied with $a_1 = \frac{1}{3}$ and $a_2 = a_3 = a_4 = a_5 = a_6 = a_7 = 0$. Note that corollary (2.2) is also satisfied.

References

- [1] Mustafa, Z, Roshan J.R, Parvaneh, V: Common fixed Point Theorems in Complex Valued Metric Spaces, *Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization*, **32** (2011) 243-253.
- [2] Sedghi, S, Shobkolaei, N, Roshan, J, R and Shantanawi, W: Coupled fixed point theorems in G_b -metric spaces, *Mathematica Vesnik*, **66, 2** (2014) 190-201.
- [3] Shahkoohi, R,J, Kazemipour, S.A and Eyvali, A. R: Tripled coincidence point under ϕ -contractions in ordered G_b -metric spaces, *Journal of Linear and Topological Algebra*, **3** (2011) 131-147.
- [4] Mustafa, Z, Roshan J.R, Parvaneh, V: Coupled Coincidence Point Results for (ψ, ϕ) -Weakly Contractive Mappings in Partially Ordered G_b -Metric Spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, **206** (2013) 21 pages.
- [5] Guo, D. and Lakshmikantham, V.: Coupled Fixed Points of Nonlinear Operators with Applications, *Nonlinear Analysis:TMA*, **11** (1987) 623-632.
- [6] Abbas, M., Ali Khan, M., Radenovic, S.: Common coupled fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces for w-compatible mappings, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **217** (2010) 195-202.
- [7] Karapinar, E.: Coupled Fixed Point on Cone Metric Spaces, *Gazi University Journal of Science*, **1** (2011) 51-58.
- [8] Long, W., Rhoades, B.E and Rajovic, M.: Coupled Coincidence points for two mappings in metric spaces and cone metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, **2012** (2012) 1-9.
- [9] Kadelburg, Z. and Radenovic, S.: Coupled Fixed point results under TVS-cone metric and w-cone-distance, *Advances in Fixed point Theory*, **2** (2012) 29-46.
- [10] Batra, R., Vashistha, S. and Kumar, R.: Coupled coincidence point theorems for mappings without mixed monotone property under c-distance in cone metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **7** (2014) 345-358.
- [11] Karapinar, E. and Turkoglu, D.: Best Approximations Theorems for a couple in Cone Banach Space, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, **2010** doi: 10.1155/2010/784578.
- [12] Shantanawi, W.: Some Common Coupled Fixed Point results in cone metric spaces, *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, **4** (2010) 2381-2388.
- [13] Aghajani, A., Abbas, M. and Kallehbasti, E. P.: Coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and application, *Math. Commun.*, **17** (2012) 497-509.
- [14] Mehta, J. G. and Joshi, M. L.: On Coupled Fixed Point Theorem in Partially Ordered Complete Metric Space, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Sci. Technol.*, **1** (2010) 87-92.

- [15] Malhotra, N. and Bansal, B.: Some common coupled fixed point theorems for generalized contraction in b-metric space, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **8** (2015) 8-16.
- [16] Bhaskar, T. G and Lakshmikantham, V.: Fixed Point Theory in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, *Nonlinear Analysis*, **65** (2006) 1379-1393.
- [17] Bakhtin, I.A.: The contraction mapping principle in quasimetric spaces, *Functional Analysis*, **30** (1989) 26-37.
- [18] Czerwik, S: Contraction mapping in b-metric spaces, *Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav.*, **1** (1993) 5-11.
- [19] Czerwik, S: Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces., *Atti Semin. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena*, **46** (1998) 263-276.
- [20] Hussain, N, Parvaneh, V, Roshan, JR, Kadelburg, Z: Fixed points of cyclic weakly $(\phi; \psi; L; A; B)$ contractive mappings in ordered b-metric spaces with applications, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2013**2013, Article ID 256.
- [21] Malhotra, N, Bansal, B: Some common coupled fixed point theorems for generalised contraction in b-metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **8** (2015) 8-16.
- [22] Singh TC, Singh YR (2015) A comparative study of relationship among various type of spaces. *Int J Appl math* 25:29-36.
- [23] Singh TC, Singh YR (2014) Triple fixed points theorems on cone Banach space. *J. Glob res Math Arch* 2:43-49.
- [24] Khomdram B., Rohen Y. and Singh T.C., Coupled fixed point theorems in G_b -metric space satisfying some rational contractive conditions, *SpringerPlus* (2016)5:1261.
- [25] Animesh Gupta, Cyclic contraction on S-metric space, *International Journal of Analysis and Applications* vol. 3, No. 2 (2013), 119-130.
- [26] Shaban Sedghi and Nabi Shobe, A common unique random fixed point theorems in S-metric spaces, *Journal of Prime research in Mathematics*, Vol. 7(2011), 25-34.
- [27] Shaban Sedghi and Nguyen Van Dung, Fixed point theorems on S-metric spaces, *MatematiÄki Vesnik*, **66**, 1 (2014), 113-124.
- [28] Vishal Gupta and Raman Deep, Some coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered S-metric spaces, *Miskloc Mathematical Notes*, Vol. 16 (2015), No. 1, pp. 181-194.
- [29] Ayay Singh and nawneet Hooda, Coupled fixed point theorems in S-metric spaces, *International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Invention*, Vol. 2, 4 (2014), PP-33-39.
- [30] Shaban Sedghi, Nabi Shobe and Abdelkrim Aliouche, A generalization of fixed point theorems in S-metric spaces, *MatematiÄki Vesnik*, **64**, 3 (2012), 258-266.

- [31] Nguyen Van Dung, On coupled common fixed points for mixed weakly monotone maps in partially ordered S -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 2013, 2013:48.
- [32] Nguyen Van Dung, Nguyen Trung Hieu and Slobodan and Radojevic, Fixed point theorems for g -monotone maps on partially ordered S -metric spaces, *Filomat* 28:9 (2014), 1885-1898.
- [33] N. Souayah and Nabil Mlaiki, A fixed point theorem in S_b -metric spaces, *J. Math. Computer Sci.* 16 (2016), 131-139.
- [34] A. H. Ansari, Note on " φ - ψ -contractive type mappings and related fixed point", *The 2nd Regional Conference on Mathematics And Applications, Payame Noor University*, (2014), 377-380.
- [35] D. Dhamodharan and R. Krishnakumar, Cone S -Metric Space and Fixed Point Theorems of Contractive Mappings, *Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 14 (2) (2017), 237-243
- [36] Kh. Bulbul, Yumnam Rohen and Th. Chhatrajit Singh, "Coupled fixed point theorems in G_b -metric space satisfying some rational contractive conditions", *Springer Plus*
- [37] Yumnam Rohen, Tatjana Dosenovic and Stojan Radenovic, "A note on the paper "A fixed point theorems in S_b -metric spaces"", *Filomat* 31:11 (2017), 3335-3346.
- [38] N. Priyobarta, Yumnam Rohen and N. Mlaiki, "Complex valued S_b -metric space", *Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, 8, 3 (2017), 13-24.
- [39] Bulbul Khomdram and Yumnam Rohen, "Quadruple common fixed point theorems in G_b -metric space", *International Journal of Pure and Applied mathematics*, 109 (2) (2016) 279-293.
- [40] N. Mlaiki, Yumnam Rohen, "Some coupled fixed point theorems in partially ordered A_b -metric space", *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, 10 (2017), 1731-1743.
- [41] M.S. Khan, Swaleh M., Sessa S. Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points of a complete metric space. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* 30, (1984), 1-9